

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/050,443	01/16/2002	Keith McQuilkin Murr	17731 (MHM 13353US01) 6492			
75	90 04/23/2003	•				
Tyco Electronics Corporation			EXAMINER			
Suite 450 4550 New Lind			GILMAN, ALEXANDER			
Wilmington, DI	E 19808-2952		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2833			
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/050,443		MURR ET AL.	í				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alexander Gilman		2833					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover sh	t with the co	orr spondence ad	dress				
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failul - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days MONTHS from to	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this or 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	lanuary 2003 .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3)									
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement	t.						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) 🔲 ີ	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ objected to	by the Exar	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)🛛	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>24 Ja</u>	<u>nuary 2003</u> is: a)⊠ a _l	pproved b)	disapproved by	the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•							
12) 🔲 🧻	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2((a)).		Stage				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
)								
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	ce of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					
I C. Datastas d T	nd-m-d-Office					_			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,443

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6,line 2, recites "said impedance adjusting member".

It is unclear if the term "said impedance adjusting member" is equivalent to the term "an impedance adjusting insert", or not.

For purposes of examination it was accepted that the terms are equivalent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the
- invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 1. Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bassler et al Bassler et al (US 6,379,184) disclose (Fig. 2, 3,6) an apparatus comprising a housing (130)
- a plurality of signal (140) and ground (150) contacts exposed from said cavity, said signal contacts being arranged in differential pairs
- an impedance tuner (112).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,443

Art Unit: 2833

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9 -20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bassler et al in view of Fogg et al

With regard to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-11, 15, and 18-20, Bassler et al disclose a connector assembly including:

a connector housing (130);

at least two signal contacts (140) arranged as a differential pair and a ground contact (150)

an impedance tuner block (112) insertable into the housing , said tuner block having at least two channels and a plurality of isolation ribs

Bassler et al do not disclose an impedance adjusting insert.

Fogg et al (US 5,975,960) disclose an impedance adjusting insert (32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bassler et al connector with the impedance adjusting insert, as taught by Fogg et al, to optimize impedance characteristics of the connector.

With regard to claims 12-14, 16, and 17, Bassler et al when modified by Fogg et al disclose (Bassler et al) a plurality of dielectric isolation ribs (formed in the tuner body by the specified configuration of the channels).

2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bassler et al in view of Fogg et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ortega et al.

Bassler et al when modified by Fogg et al disclose all of the limitations except for a ground contact separating each of said differential pairs.

Art Unit: 2833

Ortega et al (US 6,527,587) disclose a connector where (Fig. 1) a ground contact (28) separating each of

said differential pairs (24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Bassler et al-Fogg et al connector with the arrangement of the ground and signal contacts, as taught by Ortega et al, to optimize impedance characteristics of the connector.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Gilman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Alexander Gilman

April 18, 2003